Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/679,466	TAKEUCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fiona T. Powers	1626	

All Participants:

(1) *Fiona T. Powers*.

(2) *Craig McRoddie*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *29 November 2006*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
NONE

Claims discussed:
32

Prior art documents discussed:
NONE

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The examiner contacted Mr. McRoddie to ask if the dependency of claim 32 could be changed to claim 29. It was agreed that claim 32 would be made dependent on claim 29 by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)